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Abstract The purpose of this study is two-fold. Firstly, the concept of 'human caring' is examined by reviewing the literature and identifying the elements that constitute its essence.

The HCMQ is a questionnaire that consists of seven elements of human caring; essence of person, relationships, choices, genuine dialogue, experiential process, healing, and human/economic resource exchange. Each element consists of 5 items; consequently thirty-five questions were developed into this questionnaire. Three nurse experts, who earned doctoral degrees from the United States, validated the content. The pretest was conducted and the reliability coefficient of this instrument is 0.94.

The English version of the HCMQ was translated into Thai, and pre-tested with 30 nurses. A reliability coefficient of 0.95 resulted for the HCMQ (Thai). The questionnaire was disseminated to the nurses working at six national centers belonging to the Ministry of Health in Thailand. Data was collected from 1,387 and the response rate was 84.6%. Completed questionnaires only (1,221) were used for the data analysis. There were no statistically significant differences according to work position, and gender. However, an ANOVA did show significant differences when the subjects were grouped according to educational background. Nurses who held a master's degree had the highest scores for practicing the meaning of human caring followed by those with a bachelor's degree, and the two-year program group had the lowest scores (p<0.001). Age also has a significant impact on HCMQ scores. For nurses who graduated from 2-year programs, the HCMQ score fell as the age of the respondents rose, but for nurses who attended 4-year programs the HCMQ score rose according to age.
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Human caring is an important concept in nursing1). The sense of healing, relief, peace of mind and mutual trust etc., are included in the concept of human caring. In a clinical setting, human caring will be achieved through the nurses' daily behavior towards patients' care. However, it might vary according to the nurses' cultural background or tradition3), as in the differences between Asia and the West. This time, nurses' behavior with regard to human caring is investigated in Thailand, which has an Asian culture.

Firstly, the concept of human caring was examined by reviewing the literature and identifying 7 patterns of behavior (elements) that constitute its' essence. Each element was then sub-divided into 5 items of behavior. The second stage was to ask practicing nurses and administrators to self-evaluate the extent to which they fulfilled these criteria in their working lives. These findings were then analyzed according to the subjects' educational background, age, experience, and work position, as human behavior or the way of thinking are influenced by such characteristics. The subjects of this research were nurses and nursing administrators working at six national health centers for the Ministry of Health in Thailand.

1. Developing a questionnaire for the investigation on the behavior of human caring:

The most important step for the development of this instrument was the identification and specification of the
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concept of human caring. Ford's survey of 192 nurses identified
two major categories for caring: genuine concern for the well-
being of another and giving of yourself(3). From seventy-five
behaviors, Wolf(4) identified the five highest ranked caring
behaviors as attentive listening, comforting, honesty, patience,
and responsibility.

Howard(5) pointed out eight theoretical components:
inherent worth, irreplaceability, holistic selves, freedom of
action, status equality, shared decision making and
responsibility, empathy, and positive affect. Fenton(6) developed
the Scale of Humanistic Nursing Behaviors by observing
nurses' activity in a clinical setting. This scale was based on
four dimensions: shared decision making, holistic selves,
empathy, and status equality. These four dimensions were
based on Howard's(5) eight components.

Lakomy(7) examined the meaning of human caring by using
qualitative methodology, and identified seven themes of human
caring: essence of person/being; shared decision making and
relationships; genuine dialogue; experiential process; healing modalities; and human/economic resource exchanges.

Watson's caring concept and Lakomy's seven themes of
human caring have been adapted for the questionnaire as a
theoretical base to measure human caring.7,8

Consequently, human caring is defined as 7 patterns of
behavior (elements): essence of person, relationships, choices,
genuine dialogue, experiential process, healing modalities, and
human/economic resource exchange.

Five items were developed for each of these 7 elements.
Consequently, the instrument consists of the following thirty-
five items:

1. **Essence of person**
   - Understanding and loving humanity
   - Loving others as well as oneself
   - Allowing others the freedom to be human
   - Promoting and sustaining the human qualities of others
   - Understanding the reality and the meaning of life and death

2. **Relationships**
   - Being comfortable in developing friendship with others
   - Being willing to develop companionship
   - Being sensitive to the needs of others
   - Providing support to others
   - Recognizing the uniqueness of others

3. **Choices**
   - Understanding the values of others
   - Providing alternatives to others in their decision-making
   - Respecting the opinions of others
   - Respecting the rights of others
   - Understanding the desires of others

4. **Genuine dialogue**
   - Using warm and kind expressions
   - Listening with understanding
   - Expressing oneself
   - Being able to communicate in a humanistic way
   - Showing willingness to communicate with others

5. **Experiential process**
   - Being open to others
   - Being gentle and tender
   - Willingly satisfying the needs of others
   - Satisfying the extra needs of others
   - Being sympathetic to others

6. **Healing**
   - Using "touch" in a therapeutic way
   - Believing in faith
   - Believing in hope
   - Being willing to help others without hesitation
   - Showing empathy

7. **Human/economic resources exchange**
   - Having a social network
   - Having supportive exchanges
   - Being satisfied with one's economic status
   - Being friendly to others
   - Having the ability to utilize supportive relationships with others

The following Likert scale was used to determine the extent to
which the respondents behaved in the manner outlined in the
elements described. For each item the respondents awarded
self-evaluation grade as follows:

- **Nearly always = 5 marks (76 -100 % acceptance)**
- **Usually = 4 marks (51-75 % acceptance)**
- **Sometimes = 3 marks (26-50 % acceptance)**
- **Seldom = 2 marks (1-25 % acceptance)**
- **Never =1 mark (0 % acceptance)**

In order to keep the respondent's thinking within the
meaning of each element of human caring, the headings for the
elements were included in the questionnaire. The items, then, were arranged in sequence under each element. Researchers indicated how the questionnaire should be completed. Completion of all items was requested.

This questionnaire, named The Human Caring Meaning Questionnaire (HCMQ), was written in English and reviewed by three nurse researchers who were interested in the concept of caring. Additionally, a panel of experts (Thai faculty members possessing a doctoral degree from the USA) was asked to review the questionnaire. As a result of their suggestions, some changes were made.

The pretest was performed by 15 staff nurses and nurse administrators who could understand English. The internal consistency of the test (Cronbach's alpha) was computed and the reliability coefficient was 0.94, which was considered to be quite high.

II. Subjects and Method

To carry out this research in Thailand, the English version of the HCMQ was translated into the Thai language. The same panel of experts tested the content validity. (Reverse-translation was not performed). After making some amendments, the pretest was carried out with 30 staff nurses and nurse administrators. The reliability coefficient (Cronbach's alpha) was 0.95 in the Thai version of HCMQ (HCMQ-Thai).

Setting and sample. The target population for this study was staff nurses and nurse administrators employed by the government and working at the National Health Centers such as General Hospitals, Cancer Centers, Cardiovascular Centers, and Mental Health-Neuro-Psychiatric Centers. Confidentiality was maintained by the questionnaires being completed anonymously and by not using any form of coding. All the respondents were informed that if the results of the study were published, only group data would be unveiled.

Data collection. The HCMQ-Thai was distributed through the nurse supervisors of each center to 1,640 Thai staff nurses and nurse administrators in 6 national health centers (classified in 4 areas; general, cancer, cardiovascular, and mental health and psychiatric) belonging to the Ministry of Health. There were 1,387 respondents, a response rate of 84.6%. The completed questionnaires (1,221) were used for the data analysis.

Data analysis: Each questionnaire was verified and a score was calculated. Percentages were used for demographic data analysis. Mean, standard deviation, t-test, and ANOVA were used for the statistical analysis.

III. Results:

The sample consisted predominantly of females (92.5%) with the majority of the respondents being staff nurses (87.3%). The percentage of respondents holding a bachelor's degree was 64.9%, with 4.7% holding a master's degree. The average age and working experience were 36.0 years and 14.0 years respectively (See Table 1).

Table 1: The characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,221</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff nurse</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse administrator</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical nurse</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(two-year program)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional nurse</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-year program</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-year program (Bachelor's degree)</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master's degree</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all respondents, the mean score for all items was 139.31, which corresponds to a mean score of 3.98 for each item. This indicates that for 75% of their working lives, the subjects perform the items as outlined in the questionnaire. The mean score of the respondents holding master's degrees was 145.35, equal to 4.15 per item, which indicated that for approximately 80% of the time nurses performed behaviors consistent with the questionnaire (see Table 2).

There were no statistically significant differences in the means of the total scores when measured according to place of work, age, and experience (see Table 2). However, significant differences (p< 0.001) were found in regard to educational background (see Table 2). Nurses with master's degrees had the highest score on the questionnaire (mean=145.35) followed by
the bachelor degree group (mean=140.29), with the two-year program group having the lowest score for carrying out the items expressed in the questionnaire (mean=136.24). The relationship between age and the HCMQ score for each educational group was then analyzed. For technical nurses (graduates from a 2-year program), the score becomes lower as the nurses get older (p< 0.01; ANOVA) (see Figure 1). This pattern was also the case for nurses who have a master’s degree or equivalent (4.01). However, for nurses who graduated from a 4-year program, the score becomes higher according to age (p< 0.01; ANOVA) (see Figure 1). This pattern was also the case for nurses who have a master’s degree.

It is possible that the members of masters’ group are comparatively older than the members of other groups. As the score becomes higher according to age, the higher scores of bachelor’s and masters’ groups might be due to the higher age of the members of this group. Therefore a comparison was made for all subjects over 31 years old. An ANOVA showed that for these comparable age-groups, the HCMQ score was still higher for those who had higher qualifications.

When the HCMQ score was analyzed according to length of work experience, the results were similar (see Table 3). For technical nurses the score decreases with more experience, whereas for the 4-year group the opposite is the case, and the HCMQ score increases (see Figure 2).

No significant differences were according to work position or gender.

### IV. Discussion:

The questionnaire was designed to measure the behavior of human caring by nurses who have been working in hospitals in Thailand. Significant differences were found between groups only for educational background, and age. Nurses with master’s degrees demonstrated the highest score per item (mean score: 4.15), followed by those who hold a bachelor’s degree (4.01), and the lowest (3.89) was found in technical nurses with 2 years of nursing education. Higher education may be effective in influencing the performance of actions consistent with human caring. However, it cannot be stated categorically that this is the case. It might be possible that highly-educated nurses wish to be more humanistic and convince themselves that they are; resulting in higher scores. As more highly motivated nurses gain higher qualifications to improve their ability, nurses who are more able to perform humanistic care might be concentrated in these more highly qualified groups. Reviewing the literature of human caring, Schultz et al.9) investigated the perceptions of caring using the Caring Behavior Assessment instrument. They did not find any difference in scores between antepartum and postpartum participants. These results are for patients, not nurses. No articles relating to the direct influence of education, culture and age on nurses’ behaviour of human caring could be found. In this study, it has been presumed that the concept of human caring consists of 7 elements or 35 items. A t-test showed no statistically significant differences between the 7 elements. From the factor analysis for the 35 items, no factors were recognized. This may mean that the concept of human caring cannot be divided into elements of behavior as in this framework. Each element is closely linked to every other element with a high correlation coefficient. However, the elements used in this study might be useful in helping nurses to achieve ‘human caring’ through actual behavior.

### Table 2: Summary of the results of the analysis of variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-year program</td>
<td>136.24</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Technical nurse)</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor program</td>
<td>140.29</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and equivalent</td>
<td>143.2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Professional nurse)</td>
<td>145.35</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 years old</td>
<td>138.60</td>
<td>14.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years old</td>
<td>139.87</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-61 years old</td>
<td>139.58</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>138.86</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>139.90</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40 years</td>
<td>139.26</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>139.31</td>
<td>15.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Mean score for HCMQ according to length of work experience & educational background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1-10 years</th>
<th>11-20 years</th>
<th>21-40 years</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-year program</td>
<td>138.9 ± 13.9</td>
<td>135.8 ± 15.0</td>
<td>133.2 ± 15.2</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Technical nurse)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(176)</td>
<td>(129)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor program</td>
<td>143.4 ± 14.8</td>
<td>140.3 ± 16.1</td>
<td>143.3 ± 16.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and equivalent</td>
<td>(337)</td>
<td>(296)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Professional nurse)</td>
<td>145.7 ± 14.9</td>
<td>145.7 ± 14.9</td>
<td>146.0 ± 15.4</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>139.0 ± 25.2</td>
<td>139.0 ± 25.2</td>
<td>139.0 ± 25.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An Investigation into Nurses' Behavior with regard to Human Caring

A questionnaire was developed to evaluate nurses' behavior of human caring in Thailand. Significant differences were found between groups only for educational background and age. Higher education might be effective in influencing the performance of actions consistent with human caring.

V. Conclusion:

A questionnaire was developed to evaluate nurses' behavior of human caring in Thailand. Significant differences were found between groups only for educational background and age. Higher education might be effective in influencing the performance of actions consistent with human caring.

References

原著

看護師のヒューマンケアリング行動に関する研究

Puangrat Boonyanurak*1, 小澤三枝子*1, David R. Evans*2, 竹尾惠子*3

【要 旨】タイ国看護職の「ヒューマンケアリング」にかかわる行動を評価することを目的として, ヒューマンケアリングに関する調査票 (HCMQ)を開発し, タイ国立ヘルスセンターに勤務する看護職を対象に, 質問紙による調査を行った. 質問紙では, 「ヒューマンケアリング」の核となる7要素を文献に基づき採用した. 7要素とは, ①人間性に対する理解, ②人との関係性, ③ものごとの決める, ④会話のしかた, ⑤対応のしかた, ⑥療養 (ヒーリング), ⑦人的・経済的資源の交換, である. 要素ごとに5質問項目を作成し, 全体で 35 項目からなる質問紙を作成した. 質問紙の妥当性については, タイのエキスパートナース (3 人) の協力を得て, 慎重に検討した. また HCMQ (英語) を用いて, タイの 15 名のナースにテストを行い, 信頼性係数 0.95 を得た.

HCMQ をタイ語に訳して, タイの 30 名の看護職を対象に行ったテストでは, 信頼性係数 0.95 を得た. 本調査での質問紙回収数は 1,387 で, 回収率は 84.6%であった. そのうち, 35 の質問項目のすべてに回答していた 1,221 を分析した. 各看護職の職位, 性別と HCMQ スコアの間には有意な差はみられなかったが, 看護教育背景 (2年課程, 4年課程, 修士) と HCMQ スコアの間には有意な差がみられ, 修士生を持った看護職の HCMQ スコアが最も高かった. 次いで4年課程, 2年課程の順となった (ANOVA, p<0.001). また, 年齢と HCMQ スコアとの間にも有意な差がみられた. 2年課程の看護職においては年齢が高くなるにつれて HCMQ スコアは減少するが, 4年課程のナースにおいては年齢が増すと HCMQ スコアも増加した.

【キーワード】 ヒューマンケアリング, 質問紙の開発, ナース, タイ国
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